

Selection system

The call for applications to the Program is published in the press, social networks and through emails addressed to the different Faculties/Schools in all Universities and Professional Associations and Scientific Societies. In turn, this information is disseminated through the website of the Program.

Once the application deadline has expired, the background of each applicant is analyzed by the Academic Committee in accordance with the Program Evaluation Guidelines, and together with the personal interview, a selection list is drawn up. The scores and their weightings in each of the evaluated areas will be delivered to each applicant.

Admission requirements:

Be in possession of a Bachelor's degree, professional title or Master's in Sciences in disciplines related to natural resource sciences such as natural sciences biological, chemical, agricultural, forestry, biotechnological and other related to these themes.

Background information required for the application:

- Certificate concentration of undergraduate marks, signed by the competent authority.
- Prove an average grade of bachelor's degree, professional title or equivalent of 5.0 according to the scale of 1 to 7.
- In case of not having equivalence in scale 1 to 7, any document issued will be accepted by higher education institution of undergraduate origin in which equivalences of qualifications on a scale of 1 to 7, or, you must present a certificate explaining equivalences on a scale of 1 to 7 issued by the Chilean university that teaches the Doctorate program in Chile.

Ranking graduation or degree:

- Undergraduate graduation ranking certificate where the position of graduation of the applicant in relation to the total number of students of his/her graduating class or degree issued by the home university. In both cases, said certification must be issued by the competent authority of the undergraduate university of origin.

Academic trajectory

a) Curriculum Vitae* (online system): it must highlight and support your career in teaching, research (e.g. participation in research projects, publications and presentations in congresses/conferences), and postgraduate background if any.

*All background must be supported with the relevant certification.

b) Applicants may submit additional documents to be considered in the assessment. This is: copy of diploma or master's certificate completed; certificate of concentration of notes of magister realized.

c) Two letters of recommendation: according to the format found in the application system, the lines that must be sent by the recommender to the email:

admission.doctoradorrnn@ufrontera.cl

3. Study objectives

Submit a document explaining the grounds on which you base your application. This document must include three aspects (ADJUST TO THE FORMAT):

a) Study objective:

b) Declaration of interests:

c) Compensation of the applicant to the country:

4. Others

d) Application letter for admission addressed to the director of the Program, specifying the line of interest to carry out research, which must be sent to the email:

admission.doctoradorrnn@ufrontera.cl

Assessment

The evaluation consists of two stages:

1) Preselection: This stage consists of the evaluation of the background is carried out by the Committee

Program academic. The background of the applicants is analyzed according to the Program Evaluation Guidelines.

After this and within a period not exceeding 15 days, counting from the closing date of the applications, the applicants are informed of the admissibility of their records or the rejection of these.

Shortlisted applicants continue to the second stage

2) Interviews

The shortlisted applicants present their Research Proposal to the Committee Academic to learn more about their academic/scientific interests and their possibilities of study and in which he presents his research proposal.

3) Resolution

The Academic Committee decides on the admission of applicants. For these purposes, informs each applicant about their status as selected or rejected and sends, by regular channel, the payroll to the Postgraduate Office of the University; the Postgraduate Director notifies the acceptance or rejection of applications for admission according to the proposals of the Academic Committee of the Program.

Evaluation scale:

Each of the criteria and sub-criteria are evaluated on a scoring scale that includes the range from 0 (zero) to 5 (five) points. The categories are conceptualized from the following way:

EXCELLENT – 5 points – Outstandingly meets/addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any weakness is very minor.

VERY GOOD – 4 points – Meets/addresses the criteria aspects very well, even when certain improvements are possible.

GOOD – 3 points – Meets/addresses the aspects of the criteria in a good way, although it requires certain improvements.

REGULAR – 2 points – Complies/addresses in general terms the aspects of the criterion, but there are significant shortcomings.

POOR – 1 point – Does not adequately meet/address the aspects of the criterion or there are serious inherent shortcomings.

DOES NOT QUALIFY – 0 points – Does not meet/address the criteria under analysis or cannot be evaluated due to lack of background or information.